


Guy Tal
Maglula
PO Box 302
Rosh Haayln 48103
Israel

29 September 2016

Dear Guy,

I hope this arrives to you and your father in good health. This is a report to sum up the compatibility check I have conducted on Sig MPX Gen 1 and Gen 2 magazines. You had sent me a prototype LuLa for the MPX that you wanted checked on both Generations as well as the .40 caliber magazine. Unfortunately, Sig has not completed the development work on their caliber conversions so no magazine is ready. In speaking with a Sig Engineer, he does not feel there will be any issues due to the only real difference will be the longer feed lips and slightly modified follower. Looking at how far the LuLa prototype pushes downward on the 9mm cartridge, there is plenty of room left to slide a .40 caliber cartridge in with the current design.



The magazine on the left is the Gen 1 MPX magazine. Notice the black follower. The red line indicates the length of the feed lips on the Gen 1 magazine. On the right is the Gen 2 magazine, notice the tan follower. You can tell from the green line that the feed lips are substantially longer. According to the engineer I interviewed, this change would not affect

the LuLa tool. The followers remain at the same height as well as the location between the high of the feed lips and the magazine catch remain the same.



Gen 1

Gen 2



Gen1

Gen 2

With the cartridges installed it is a easier visual to show the additional lengths of the feed lips on the Gen 2 magazine. Both magazines work interchangeably in the Gen 1 and Gen2 carbines. The difference is when you want to install a caliber conversion in the MPX. If you wanted to switch from a 9mm to .40, you would have to swap your receiver out for a Gen 2 and use the Gen 2 magazines. For instance my MPX is a Gen 2 and it functions with both Gen 1 and Gen 2 magazines when firing 9x19mm ammunition.



Gen 1



Gen 2

These pictures show that there is plenty of room to insert the larger .40 caliber or even .357 Sig rounds into the magazine while using the loader. I do not believe you will need to modify the cam on the loader at all. Again, we cannot be sure until we actually get



Christopher Bartocci
3810 Evening Trail Drive
Spring, Texas 77388
(585) 704-9864
cbartocci@smallarmssolutions.com

their .40/.357 Sig magazine when it released to the market. If you are asking me if I think you should wait to be sure before you release the loader, absolutely not. I believe you will be fine. If there is any changes you could always do a revision 2.

My testing included 6 of the Gen 2 magazines. You provided /purchased 2 of the Gen 1 magazines to test. Every magazine was completely loaded and unloaded with the prototype LuLa twice. There was no issues of any sort. The prototype LuLa functioned just as smoothly as any other LuLa in your product line up. I have no recommendations to change the design for you. I think you got it completely right on this first attempt. I think you will have a large market especially here in the US. The MPX is very successful here.

If you have any further questions, do not hesitate to ask. I look forward to seeing the final product and will say that I will be using the LuLa to load my MPX magazines.

Yours Truly,

Christopher Bartocci
Small Arms Solutions, LLC